## Figure 4 – Demonstrating the SEP Diagnostic Falsification

The tests were carried out according to procedure but the diagnostic findings are a huge deviation from the data in the findings, its a diagnostic falsification. A single glace at the data and the graph in of the SEPs would determine underlying pathology or clinical effect.

But lets demonstrate how:

<u>Factual:</u> Referral: VEP or SSEP delay? Optic neuropathy? Also has sensory symptoms with few signs.

Findings:

VEPs waveforms are well-formed, with normal latencies for both large and small checks, bilaterally.

SSEPs waveforms are well-formed, with normal latencies for both upper and lower limbs.

Dr. Catania's statement is that the "SSEPs waveforms are well-formed, with normal latencies for upper and lower limbs."

The two graphs of the tibial (leg) SEP are given below:





Any medical textbook that was ever written about sensory evoked potentials in history could be referenced to negate Dr. Catania's statement, if you have graph I. in a medical test you will undoubtedly have multiple sclerosis or a neurodegenerative condition. The right tibial (leg) SEP (graph II.) is a normal or passable SEP, but the left (graph I.) is a gross malformation.

The below examples are from well known medical texts used in medical school (common to medical students).



Graph B in the left textbook example is similar to Graph I. in my diagnostic data. Same test, same malformations. Graph I. in my test undoubtedly indicates a neurodegenerative process.

Graph A. in the textbook example is much healthier, clear peaks and troughs similar to Graph II. of my passable right tibial SEP.

**FIGURE 25-10** • **A**, Normal response recorded over the popliteal fossa, the scalp, and the spine with stimulation of the right tibial nerve at the ankle. A well-marked potential is recorded over the nerve at the popliteal fossa between the distal and the proximal electrodes (PFd and PFp), and a lumbar potential (LP) is recorded between the third and the first lumbar vertebrae (L3–L1). A positivity is seen at Cz' and the contralateral scalp area (C4') with reference to Fpz. B. Somatosensory evoked potential to right tibial nerve stimulation in a patient with definite multiple sclerosis. An initial potential is recorded over the nerve in the popliteal fossa and over the lumbar spine, but no response can be identified over the scalp.

Chapter 26, Somatosensory Evoked Potentials, "Electrodiagnosis in Clinical Neurology" by Michael J. Aminoff



The graphs to the right are what a normal median nerve somatosensory SEP looks like: Clear well defined peaks and troughs. The N20 usually has a well defined curve with a large amplitude.





Graph B in the left textbook example is similar to Graph III. in my diagnostic data, though my graphs have slightly more defined peaks.

The nearly flat line and reduced amplitude for N20 in Graph III. indicates a neurodegenerative process, attenuation and reduced amplitude indicate neurodegeneration. Its not like the normal response in the Figure 14-1 in "Spehlmann's Evoked Potential Primer" or Graph A.

Graph A. in the textbook example is much healthier, clear peaks and troughs similar to Graph IV. of my passable right median SEP. These graphs only occur in CNS neurodegenerative disorders:

Normal Subject CNS Degenerative Ulseese 3024 Averaged Responses	Low amplitude scalp responses and malformed graphs are the hallmark of neurodegeneration seen in SEPs.
ci ci + A2 ~ Doracon	
Contraction of the second seco	
to te	
<b>Figure 17-8</b> Peroneal SEP in a child with a neurodegenerative disease. Peroneal SEP is recorded in response to stimulation near the knee. Left traces are from a normal subject. Right traces are from the patient. The potentials are lost in higher electrode derivations and not detectable at the scalp. (Reprinted with permission from Cracco et al. <i>EEE Clin Neurophysiol.</i> 1980;49:437.)	
"Spehlmann's Evoked Potential Primer" – Karl E. Misulis and	
Toufic Fakhoury	

The SEP test data does reflect that there are lesions in the cervical column even according to Dr. Trip's own statement in the appointment that "VEPs/SEPs aren't used to describe latency, though useful [since latency changes often due to vacillations in inflammation]. They are used to determine damage (lesions) from former inflammatory periods." In the NHS VEP/SEPs aren't done more then once according to Dr. Trip.

Any person with an educated background that has the ability to read a medical text book and an overabundance of clinical history could deconstruct the falsification in this case. (Explained in documents **Figure 5/6**)

Dr. Catania's statement that the "SSEPs waveforms are well-formed, with normal latencies for upper and lower limbs" is easily shown to be false. The waveforms are definitely not well formed and indicate a neurodegenerative condition. But this is only with the hospital's medical record/diagnostic data, what happens if other data and surrounding diagnostics are considered? Dr. Catania becomes medically impossible to defend.

Figure 5 goes into detail with a small sample of surrounding diagnostic data that negates Dr. Catania.