

Why Asylum by the Legal Definition of Asylum?

Because I need a legal barrier from the persecution that takes place in the US (persecution by malice in a medical setting and using the effects stemming from intentionally trying to further the condition in other settings). Simply being in a foreign country doesn't limit the US from harming me in the foreign country which has repeatedly demonstrated in the past. Student and work visas don't limit the US from harming me anywhere (this is demonstrated in the documents provided with the PIQ response).

Asylum requires immigration but its not immigration that im looking for, its legal protection provided by asylum to prevent further malice from the US. It's a legal safe haven from harm with representation against harm. Repeatedly committing crimes in medical institutions or outside medical institutions without any legal repercussion is the underlying problem in this case. This means open handed and unrestrained malice inside and outside medical settings against me as has been repeatedly demonstrated. The legal framework to protect from unrestrained malice is the goal.

The idea of does having a different immigration status, even dual citizenship, has been explored (I have a Overseas Citizenship Status (OCI) with India). It doesn't and didn't stop the US from harming me in a foreign nation. For example, my Overseas Citizenship Status did not prevent the US from influencing a radiologist to lie about an MRI series in India. The US still directed fraud in radiology in India for the purpose of medical negligence in a foreign nation. It's a immature mentality to think that lying in a radiologist report somehow upholds a case in a clearly progressive neurodegenerative condition, it doesn't make the condition go away.

Thus asylum restrictions are required to be safe in any foreign nation and the legal framework of asylum is needed to limit the US from harming me anywhere and that is the intended goal of asylum.

Narendra
Jana